

COUNCIL  
AGENDA

JUN 9, 1975

PROCEEDINGS

MONDAY, JUNE 9, 1975

<u>FUNCTION</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>PLACE</u>
1. City Council Meeting	9:30 a.m.	Council Chambers
2. Re-cycling Committee	3:00 p.m.	Committee Room "B"
3. Bill 138 Public Meeting	7:30 p.m.	Council Chambers

Prepared by: Clerk's Department

Date: June 5, 1975

Time: 12:00 noon

NOTE: If the above items are changed in any way, you will be advised prior to the commencement of the meeting by the Chairman.

COUNCILLORS AND COMMITTEE MEMBERS ARE REQUESTED TO CONTACT THE APPROPRIATE DEPARTMENT HEADS PRIOR TO THE MEETING IF GREATER EXPLANATION OR DETAIL IS REQUIRED WITH REGARD TO ANY ITEM ON THE AGENDA.



THE COUNCIL OF  
THE CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF MISSISSAUGA

---

A G E N D A

DATE: MONDAY, JUNE 9, 1975

TIME: 9:30 A.M.

PLACE: CITY COUNCIL CHAMBERS  
1 City Centre Drive,  
Mississauga, Ontario.

---

1. PRAYER

2. MINUTES OF COUNCIL MEETING - MAY 26, 1975  
Verbal motion

3. DEPUTATIONS:

(a) FILE 41-75A - FIREFIGHTERS AGREEMENT

Mr. Elliot Hastings, Mississauga Firefighters

(b) FILE 108-75 - ONTARIO HUMANE SOCIETY

Mr. T. Hughes - re proposed agreement (paragraph 4)

(c) FILE 140-75 - OFFICIAL PLAN REVIEW (INTERIM PLANNING POLICIES)

Mr. J. R. Bousfield, Cadillac Fairview

Mr. Somer Rumm, Consolidated Building Corporation

Mr. J. Chiappetta, Erin Group

(d) FILE M-64 - COROLLA INVESTMENTS (CAWTHRA ROAD DEVELOPMENT)

Re: Payment of City's share of cost for construction of  
drainage ditch.

June 9, 1975

4. PUBLIC QUESTION PERIOD

5. CORRESPONDENCE

- (a) INFORMATION ITEMS - Attachments I-1 to I-15
- (b) ITEMS REQUIRING ACTION - Nil

6. NOTICES OF MOTION - Nil

7. REPORTS OF MUNICIPAL OFFICERS - Attachments R-1 to R-5

R-1 - FILE 140-75 - OFFICIAL PLAN REVIEW (INTERIM PLANNING POLICY)

Report dated May 27, 1975 from R. Edmunds re Interim Planning Policies. (Resolution available)

R-2 - Report dated May 28, 1975, from W. Taylor re Interim Planning Policies. (Resolution available)

R-3 - Report dated June 9, 1975 from W. H. Munden re Apportionment of Taxes. (Resolution available)

R-4 - FILE 108-75 - ONTARIO HUMANE SOCIETY (MORTGAGE)

Report dated June 3, 1975, from Director of Treasury Services re O.H.S. audited financial statements.

R-5 - Report dated June 4, 1975, re File T-24622, S. Silverburg (Resolution available)

R-6 - Report dated June 5, 1975 re amendment to by-law #7431 - Building. By-law available

R-7 - Report dated June 5, 1975 amend fees in By-law #7431 - Building. By-law available.

8. COUNCIL TO MOVE INTO COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE TO CONSIDER REPORTS

verbal motion.

9. COMMITTEE REPORTS

- (a) GENERAL COMMITTEE REPORT - MAY 28, 1975

June 9, 1975.

10. COMMITTEE TO RISE

Verbal motion

11. PETITIONS - Attachments P-1 to P-3

P-1 - FILE 86-75 - TRAFFIC BY-LAW

Petition for stop signs at Applewood Road at Brooks Drive

P-2 - FILE 18-74 - ROADS GENERAL  
49-75 - PETITIONS

Disposition of Isabella Avenue. Resolution available.

P-3 - FILE 49-75 - PETITIONS

Petition re proposed sidewalk on Vista Drive (Streetsville)

12. UNFINISHED BUSINESS

SUPPLEMENTARY AGENDA - GENERAL COMMITTEE MAY 28, 1975

Items S1 to S6. (Resolutions available)

13. BY-LAWS

Verbal motion to give required number of readings.

#216-75 - A By-law to accept a Deed of Land and to establish lands described therein as part of the municipal highway system. (This is a 10 ft. widening on Cliff Road - requirement of the Committee of Adjustment under File CAB 55/75-M.)

THREE READINGS REQUIRED



June 9, 1975.

13. BY-LAWS CONTINUED

- #217-75 - A By-law to authorize execution of an Agreement of Purchase and Sale. (Cathcart Property - Waterfront Plan. As recommended in item #594, General Committee Report May 28, 1975.)

THREE READINGS REQUIRED

- #218-75 - A By-law to set aside for specific purposes part of the monies received from subdividers under agreements. (For the purchase of the Cathcart Property, 60 Godfrey's Lane, Port Credit. As recommended in item #594, General Committee Report May 28, 1975)

THREE READINGS REQUIRED

- #219-75 - A By-law to authorize the execution of an Agreement as to Compensation. (This covers a one foot reserve in connection with proposed Belfield Expressway (Hwy. 409). As recommended in item #539, General Committee Report May 21, 1975, adopted by Council May 26, 1975.)

THREE READINGS REQUIRED

- #220-75 - A By-law to establish certain lands as part of the municipal highway system. (This by-laws lifts a one foot reserve located along Adamson Street - south of Dundas Street, and east of Proudfoot in Erindale Village area.)

THREE READINGS REQUIRED

- #221-75 - A By-law to execute a Sublease. (As recommended in Item #948 General Committee October 2, 1974, and adopted by Council October 15, 1975, regarding leasing of 8th and 9th floors of the Univac Building.)

THREE READINGS REQUIRED

- #222-75 - A By-law to execute an Agreement. (Agreement re preparation of Functional report for a proposed grade separation at Lorne Park Rd. and the C.N.R. right-of-way between Proctor and Redfern Limited and the City. Recommendation of General Committee, Item #191, adopted by Council on Feb. 16, 1975.)

THREE READINGS REQUIRED

June 9, 1975.

13. BY-LAWS CONTINUED

- #223-75 - A By-law to authorize execution of agreements for municipal purposes. (These agreements cover the following projects:
- P.N. 75-006 - Crushed stone - awarded to Nelson Crushed Stone;
  - P.N. 75-007 - Granular Material, awarded to Franceschini Bros.;
  - P.N. 75-007 - Granular Material, awarded to Armbro Bros.
  - P.N. 75-005 - Sodding - awarded to Country Sodding Ltd.
  - P.N. 75-017 - Calcium Chloride, awarded to Vaughan Minerals Co.
  - P.N. 75-012, Corrugated Metal Pipe, awarded to Koppers International Canada Ltd.
  - P.N. 75-010 - Sand Stockpiling, awarded to Armbro Bros.
  - P.N. 75-008 - Surface Treatment and Road Oiling, awarded to Flintkote Co. of Canada;
  - P.N. 75-021 - Concrete Sidewalk Repairs, awarded to Weldon McEachen Construction;
  - P.N. 75-028 - Catchbasin Cleaning, awarded to Roy Bulgin)

THREE READINGS REQUIRED

- #224-75 - A By-law to execute an Engineering Agreement between The City of Mississauga and Pajuaar Construction. (This is a requirement of the Committee of Adjustment (File CAB 92/74). All requirements have been met. Lands are located south of the Queensway as a re-development of the Oneida Crescent Area.)

THREE READINGS REQUIRED

- #225-75 - A By-law to appoint members to the Court of Revision for the City of Mississauga. (This is as recommended by General Committee Report May 28, Item #574.)

THREE READINGS REQUIRED

- #226-75 - A By-law to amend By-law Number 7431 as amended. (To amend the section dealing with The National Building Code.)

THREE READINGS REQUIRED

- #227-75 - A By-law to amend By-law Number 7431 as amended. (To amend fee schedules for construction, alterations, etc.)

THREE READINGS REQUIRED

June 9, 1975.

13. BY-LAWS CONTINUED

#228-75 - A By-law pursuant to The Municipal Act, R.S.O. 1970, c. 284, s. 354, and amendments thereto, and The Planning Act, R.S.O. 1970, c. 349, s. 38, and amendments thereto, to regulate, control and inspect, charge fees and issue permits, for the design, construction, installation, alteration, reconstruction, replacement, renovation, enlargement, removal and repair of all heating plants and equipment, thermal insulation and vapour barriers, for any building in the City of Mississauga.

THREE READINGS REQUIRED

14. MOTIONS

- (a) To adopt General Committee May 28, 1975
- (b) To adopt W. Taylor's report re Interim Planning (R-2)
- (c) To adopt R. Edmunds' report re Interim Planning (R-1)
- (d) To permit "block party" on Lana Terrace (I-12)
- (e) To approve tax apportionment (R-3)
- (f) To appoint members to committee to study a linear park system for the Etobicoke Creek
- (g) Closure of Isabella Ave. (D. J. Culham)
- (h) Phedora Residential Subdivision (G.C. Supplementary May 28)
- (i) Dom Investments - (G.C. Supplementary May 28)
- (j) Heater exchangers outdoor swimming pools (G.C. Supp. May 28)
- (k) Huron Park Centre 1975 Budget (G.C. Supp. May 28)
- (l) Rec. Services Policy Advisory Committee Report May 26 (G.C. Supplementary May 28)
- (m) Preservation of Meadowvale Village (C.J. Killaby)
- (n) Re: File T-24622 - S. Silverburg - conveyance Block B



June 9, 1975.

15. NEW BUSINESS

Nil

16. A BY-LAW TO CONFIRM PROCEEDINGS OF COUNCIL AT THIS MEETING

Verbal motion for required number of readings

17. ADJOURN

Verbal motion

CITY OF MISSISSAUGA  
PLANNING DEPARTMENT

FILE: SP 166  
DATE: May 27, 1975

R-1

MEMORANDUM

TO M. L. Dobkin, Mayor, and Members of the City of  
Mississauga Council

FROM R. G. B. Edmunds, Commissioner of Planning

SUBJECT Official Plan Preparation - Interim Planning Policies

COMMENTS (a) Introduction

The presentation of the consultants' proposals and recommendations on May 2, 1975 represents the first step in the Official Plan preparation process described in an earlier memo to General Committee, which will culminate in an Official Plan in about twelve to eighteen months' time.

During this period of time, when the consultants' reports are being evaluated by both the public and the professional staff, planning and development in Mississauga cannot simply be suspended. The need for housing, particularly for low-income groups, and the economic hardship that would result to private interests who have invested to the point of having development proposals at various stages of approval, are two circumstances that would be severely aggravated by a policy of refusing development until the Official Plan Review has taken place.

Conversely, it is important that development not proceed in a way that might preclude present policy options which have not yet been fully evaluated by both the public and by elected and non-elected officials.

The purpose of this report, therefore, is to describe interim policies that should be adopted to permit development to take place but not jeopardize the opportunities for the future policy choices outlined in the consultants' reports, or others that may emerge during the course of the Official Plan Review.

REPORTS DEALING WITH ITEM #591 GENERAL  
COMMITTEE REPORT MAY 28, 1975 - REFERRED  
TO COUNCIL WITHOUT A RECOMMENDATION

(b) Existing Development Applications

It is suggested that development applications in areas where secondary plans have been approved should continue to be processed. This recommendation would include Meadowvale West, Meadowvale South and Lakeshore Communities, for which amendments to the Official Plan incorporating planning policies have been approved within the last five years. Similarly, development applications for infill areas should also continue to be processed. For the purposes of this interim policies report, infill areas are defined as undeveloped areas of the Streetsville and Malton Communities, and all lands south of Burnhamthorpe Road with the exception of the undeveloped parts of the Lakeshore, Erin Mills South and North Cooksville Communities.

It should be noted that this recommended policy is dealing only with the processing of development applications and not whether such applications should be approved. Notwithstanding the Official Plan Review, the disposition of development applications is dependent upon many factors, including the provision of water and sewage facilities, parks and recreational facilities, and schools.

In addition to areas where development applications should continue to be processed, there are areas which should either not be released for processing or, if released, the decision to do so should be rescinded. In the former category would be the lands in the north-central area, bounded by the east-west and north-south Parkway Belt system established by the Province. Within the latter category, the lands west of Winston Churchill Boulevard have been released by Council. The consultants' reports recommend that they be developed for urban purposes, but not in Stage 1. This recommendation should be evaluated as part of the preparation of the Official Plan with respect to a number of considerations including servicing, transportation and the relative merits of developing this area, the north-central area, or both. Therefore, it is suggested that the decision to release for processing the lands west of Winston Churchill Boulevard should be rescinded. The future of these lands will receive careful consideration during the preparation of the Official Plan.



Although there is an approved secondary plan for the North-North Dixie Community, the future development of this area and the North Cooksville Community is affected by transportation difficulties. Until issues associated with these difficulties are resolved, there should be no further processing of development applications in these areas.

There are other areas for which the City's existing plans or policies are in conflict with the consultants' recommendations. The area west of Square One bounded by Mavis Road, Burnhamthorpe Road, the east-west Parkway Belt and the Canadian Pacific Railway tracks is presently designated for industrial purposes by Amendment 237, but the consultants have recommended this area for residential purposes, and have allocated a secondary centre in this location. An adjacent area, between Mary Fix Creek and Mavis Road south of Burnhamthorpe Road to the Canadian Pacific Railway tracks, is presently designated completely for industrial purposes; the consultants, however, have recommended that the area be partially used for residential purposes\*. In order to keep the policy options open for these lands, there should be no further processing of development applications in these areas until the consultants' recommendations have been fully evaluated during the preparation of the Official Plan.

(c) Housing Policy

A proposed Interim Housing Policy was submitted to a joint Planning Committee and Council meeting in April 1975, recommending among other things a study of the need for neighbourhood improvements and residential unit rehabilitation, targets for housing starts for assisted housing of both moderate- and low-income units, and administrative arrangements for achieving the recommendations. The proposed policy also recommended a program for land assembly and land banking which was rejected by the Planning Committee and Council, but the remainder of the proposed policy was approved. In addition to this policy, Mississauga

---

\* The area of the recommended change includes all the lands above except for a strip of land fronting on Mavis Road.

has also been involved in agreements with the Provincial Government and developers as part of the Ontario Housing Action Program (OHAP) in various areas of the City.

Neither the Interim Housing Policy nor the OHAP agreements appear to conflict with or preclude options concerning housing policies outlined in the consultants' recommendations.

Besides the housing studies associated with the Official Plan preparation, and the Interim Housing Policy, a housing study is also being carried out by the Region of Peel as part of the formulation of the Peel Regional Plan. A task force, including representation from Mississauga, and terms of reference have been established, and a grant from the Provincial Government has been obtained by the Region to fund the study. Although both the Regional study and Mississauga's housing policy and Official Plan are only in their early stages, there does not appear to be any conflict at this point in time between the work being done at the Region and the Interim Housing Policy of Mississauga. The presence of representatives from Mississauga on the Regional study task force should ensure that any overlapping of research activities should be kept to a minimum.

Whereas the Regional housing study and the housing policies of the Mississauga Official Plan will represent a comprehensive and detailed approach to housing, the Interim Housing Policy is a limited scale approach to suggest ways by which more assisted housing for moderate- and low-income groups may be achieved.

Therefore, it is suggested that the Interim Housing Policy be continued until a comprehensive, long-term housing policy for Mississauga has been achieved. To facilitate this process, it is suggested that the City of Mississauga apply to the Ministry of Housing for a grant of \$20,000 under the Ontario Housing Action Program.

(d) Future Policy Options - Specific Projects

As noted previously, any decisions regarding the consultants' proposals and recommendations should await a complete evaluation by both the public and

and the technicians. The previous sections of this report outlined policies with respect to housing and the processing of development applications in certain areas and not in others that should be adopted pending the completion of the Official Plan Review.

There are a number of specific policy options associated with the consultants' recommendations that should be retained although the major decisions concerning them have not been made.

(1) Transportation - Rights-of-Way

The Transportation Report outlines a number of Light Rail Transit (LRT) lines that should be established, and major arterial road construction that should be carried out. The rights-of-way associated with these recommended facilities should be retained without impediments that would prevent their possible use for transportation purposes at a later date. The best examples of the need to reserve such rights-of-way are along Burnhamthorpe Road, Highway 10 and Erin Mills Parkway for possible establishment of LRT lines in these locations. The reservation of such rights-of-way could be achieved through dedication as a condition of development and the use of subdivision design and site plan control. The major purpose of retaining possible rights-of-way undeveloped would be to ensure that they would be available if the Official Plan determines that they are required; if they are not required, the lands could be incorporated into the adjacent land uses.

(2) Core Area and Network of Secondary Centres

The consultants have recommended that the City Core should be located in the area from Square One to Dundas Street and from Mary Fix Creek to Hurontario Street. Also recommended was the retention and reinforcement of secondary centres such as Clarkson, Malton, Streetsville and Port Credit.

With respect to the recommended Core Area, any development applications, including those submitted to the Committee of Adjustment and



the Land Division Committee for this area, should be evaluated within the context that this area may possibly become the Core Area for Mississauga. Examination of development proposals for this area should ensure that uses which might prejudice possible Core Area uses or preclude potential advantageous design features such as open space or building locations should not be permitted.

Similarly, development applications for areas adjacent to the Core Area within the Cooksville Community should be evaluated in terms of their relationship to this area as a possible Core Area for Mississauga.

The network of secondary centres is already well established; however, some rehabilitation and improvements, such as those proposed for the commercial area of Clarkson, could take place without compromising any possible course of action outlined in the consultants' report.

(3) Land Severance Policies

Mississauga Council previously endorsed the Region of Peel's recommended policies concerning divisions of land in agricultural areas. This policy should continue in effect as an interim measure and should be examined during the course of the Official Plan Review as a possible permanent policy to be included as part of the Official Plan.

(4) Service Shortfalls

The consultants identified some shortfalls in services, most notably parks and storm drainage facilities, for existing developed areas of Mississauga. Regardless of what Official Plan policies are adopted, these shortages will continue to exist and no opportunity should be lost in alleviating them.

(e) Conclusions

The foregoing are suggested interim policies of the Official Plan. It is possible during the course of preparing the Official Plan that other policies will emerge as the direction of the Plan becomes more clear, although it is emphasized that the evaluation should be completed before any major decisions are made.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Pending the completion of the Official Plan, it is recommended that the following interim policies be adopted:

1. Development applications continue to be processed in infilling areas and those community study areas for which secondary plans have been approved; namely, Lakeshore, Erin Mills South, Meadowvale West and Meadowvale South.
2. Efforts continue to be made towards the solution of transportation problems that exist with respect to the future development of the North-North Dixie and North Cooksville Communities.
3. The decision to release for processing lands west of Winston Churchill Boulevard be rescinded, and no action with respect to the development of these lands be taken until the completion of the Official Plan.


Similarly, there should be no further processing of development applications for the lands bounded by the east-west Parkway Belt, Mavis Road, Burnhamthorpe Road and the C.P.R. tracks or for the adjacent lands south of this area designated Industrial but recommended for residential uses by the consultants.

4. The Interim Housing Policy be maintained.
5. The City of Mississauga apply to the Provincial Government for a grant of \$20,000 for the housing policy component of the Official Plan.
6. The transportation rights-of-way required for the recommended light rapid transit and road construction be reserved pending the completion of the Official Plan.
7. Any development applications within the area recommended as the City Core, and the areas within the Cooksville Community adjacent to it be carefully evaluated in terms of their possible effects on the potential uses of this area.
8. Any proposals that would improve or rehabilitate existing secondary centres such as Clarkson, Streetsville, Malton or Port Credit not be delayed during preparation of the Official Plan.

R-1g

9. During the course of the Official Plan Review, the land severance policies approved by Peel Regional Council and endorsed by the Mississauga Council remain in effect; in addition, they should be examined as part of the Official Plan Review as a possible permanent policy.
10. Wherever possible, action should be taken on service short-falls for existing development.

THESE RECOMMENDATIONS HAVE BEEN ENDORSED BY THE STAFF MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE.



R. G. B. Edmunds



CITY OF MISSISSAUGA

R-2

May 28, 1975

Our Files: 04-00-150.2,  
P.N. 73-071 & 02-15/75

The Mayor and Members of the  
General Committee,  
City of Mississauga.

SUBJECT: Interim planning policies.

ORIGIN: Report of Management Team May 27, 1975.

COMMENTS: In addition to the communities mentioned in our Interim Planning Policies Report of May 27, 1975, we wish to draw to the attention of Council that the Erin Mills South Community is proceeding rapidly towards development and that a number of plans could be registered this year. We are attaching our report to General Committee of May 7, 1975, which indicated that there would be a high cost of providing both transit and major road facilities to this development. This report recommended that the Staff Management Team provide a report on the staging of these facilities and the alternative forms of funding.

At the General Committee meeting of May 21, 1975, a presentation was made to Council by McCormick and Rankin on the costs of grade separation and bridge construction for Burnhamthorpe Road and Eglinton Avenue at the CPR and Credit River. The total cost of these projects was reported to be \$20,000,000.00. In view of the foregoing, we would suggest that processing of subdivision plans should proceed in Erin Mills South, however, neither servicing nor registration should commence until a policy has been arrived at on how major roads and transit facilities can be provided to this area and how these will be funded.

RECOMMENDATIONS: The following is therefore recommended for consideration:

1. That the Staff Management Team report to Council as soon as possible on the staging of construction for major road facilities and transit for the Erin Mills South development including alternative funding.


R-2a

The Mayor and Members of the  
General Committee,  
May 28, 1975  
Page 2

Subject: Interim planning policies.

RECOMMENDATIONS: 2. That no plans of subdivision be registered or pre-servicing agreements be entered into until this policy has been approved by Council.

WPT:dw



William P. Taylor, P. Eng.,  
Commissioner  
Engineering, Works and Building Dept.

# City of Mississauga

## MEMORANDUM

R-3

To Members of Council.....

From Mr. W. H. Munden, R.I.A. ....

Dept. ....

Dept. City Treasurer .....


DATED: June 9, 1975

SUBJECT: Apportionment of Taxes

ORIGIN: Regional Assessment Department

COMMENTS: During 1974, the Regional Assessment Commissioner issued Apportionments originating from the sale of properties. It is now necessary to issue tax bills in accordance with these Apportionments.

RECOMMENDATIONS: That the attached list of Tax Apportionments be approved pursuant to Section 547 (1) of the Municipal Act, Chapter 284 R.S.O. 1970, as amended.

  
W. H. Munden, R.I.A.  
City Treasurer

RESOLUTION AVAILABLE





## City of Mississauga

### MEMORANDUM

R-4

To The Mayor and Members of Council From Mr. K. J. Sloan  
Dept. \_\_\_\_\_ Dept. Director of Treasury Services

June 3rd, 1975

File: T-015  
T-091

Ladies & Gentlemen:


**SUBJECT:** Approval of an Ontario Humane Society request to mortgage their premises located at 3490 Mavis Road, Mississauga.

**ORIGIN:** Item #194, Council meeting of April 7th, 1975, and subsequent request from the City Manager for comment by the Treasury Department on the Society's audited financial statements.

**COMMENTS:** In accordance with the above, the Ontario Humane Society has submitted its 1974 audited financial statements for scrutiny.

I have thoroughly analyzed these statements in order to ascertain whether the Society commands a financial position sufficiently sound to support the cost of a further mortgage on its Mavis Road premises. My conclusion at the outset is that the Society is not sufficiently stable financially to support both the short and long-term debt it presently possesses, let alone incur further responsibilities, for the following reasons:

- i) The Society has a significantly negative position of liquidity which would necessitate the sale of some or all of its capital assets including the premises in question, should its "demand" bank loans be called and its remaining current liabilities require immediate payment.
- ii) Its working capital position (ratio of current assets to current liabilities) is a negative 6 to 1, a situation which further supports the Society's state of financial insolvency.



June 3rd, 1975

R-4a

- iii) Since it is currently in a deficit position as a whole, its debt/equity ratio is non-existent.
- iv) In addition to mortgages pledged as security against demand bank loans, the Society has currently outstanding mortgages totalling well over 50% of the original cost of land and buildings owned by the Society, a situation which at the moment would appear to require a steady, reliable, cash flow far above present capabilities.
- v) Approximately 65% of all cash is generated through the following:
  - donations,
  - adoptions,
  - legacies,
  - Municipal contract fees.


Needless to say, only municipal contract revenues are capable of estimate with any degree of certainty. If revenues fail to be realized through other channels necessitating ever-increasing charges to the municipality's, it is doubtful how long even this form of revenue would be certain.

I have subsequently contacted the Society and expressed my views (given the 1974 financial statements as the only source of information). They have indicated that these statements do not present the Society's current financial position fairly, in that they are some five months old. In view of this, I have asked for a report from them to support this assertion.

RECOMMENDATION:


That Council defer its approval of a request by the Ontario Humane Society for a new first mortgage until a further report is received from the Society and commented on by the Treasury Department.

Yours truly,



K. J. Sloan,  
Director of Treasury Services.

KJS/mf  
c.c. Mr. I. F. Markson  
Approved:



W. H. Munden, R.I.A.,  
City Treasurer.

**RECEIVED**

REGISTRY NO. 4969

DATE JUN 5 - 1975

FILE NO. T-24622

CLERK'S DEPARTMENT

R-5

CITY OF MISSISSAUGAPLANNING DEPARTMENT

FILE: T-24622

DATE: June 4, 1975

MEMORANDUMTOM. L. Dobkin, Mayor, and Members of the City  
of Mississauga CouncilFROM

R. G. B. Edmunds, Commissioner of Planning

SUBJECTProposed Plan of Subdivision T-24622  
S. SilverburgCOMMENTS

Attached for the consideration of Council is a copy of a letter dated April 28, 1975, from M. E. Weir requesting that Item 13, Section A - Planning of the Consolidated Report for the above-noted development, approved by Council on February 24, 1975, be revised to read:

"Block B shall be conveyed to the owners of the adjacent lands."

We have considered the proposed amendment to the Consolidated Report and have no objection to the revision requested by Mr. Weir to facilitate the fulfillment of an agreement with the owners of the adjacent lands. We suggest, however, that the revision be included as an additional requirement so that Item 13 would read:

"Block B shall be conveyed to the owners of adjacent lands to be developed in conjunction with these lands. In this regard the City shall be satisfied prior to registration of the plan that the block when combined with adjacent lands will permit development in accordance with the Zoning By-law."

RESOLUTION AVAILABLE



WEIR AND MARKSON  
*Barristers, Solicitors, Notaries*

MICHAEL E. WEIR, B.A.  
JOSEPH E. MARKSON, B.A., LL.B.  
WILLIAM E. MATHERS, B.A., LL.B.

COUNSEL  
CLAIR TOOZE, O.C.

TELECOPIER NO. 416-271-2839  
TELEPHONE 279-7930  
AREA CODE 416

R-5a

CANADA TRUST BUILDING  
2580 HURONTARIO STREET  
MISSISSAUGA, ONTARIO  
L5B 1N5

April 28th, 1975

City of Mississauga  
1 City Centre Drive  
Mississauga, Ontario

Attention: Mr. Russ Edmunds  
Commissioner of Planning

Dear Sirs:

Re: SILVERBERG - Pt. Block 1, Plan 550  
Pt. Lot 6, Range 1, NDS, Zone R2  
MISSISSAUGA  
Your file T-24622/

Further to our telephone conversation, would you kindly be advised that although Mr. Adamson in your Department indicated to me that the matter which we are going to refer to you would be no problem, we would appreciate it if this could be resolved at this time due to the strict agreement which we have with Erindale Developments Limited. (i.e.) That Block B be conveyed to Erindale Developments Limited, the owner of Block I, Plan 550, free of encumbrances upon registration of the Plan.

As a result, we would appreciate paragraph 13 being revised to read as follows in the Consolidated Report:

"Block B shall be conveyed to the owners of the adjacent lands."

We see no reason why this should create a problem, since once these lands are in the ownership of Erindale Developments Limited, it will be their problem to do something with the property, bearing in mind that Block B has already a zoning on it,

City of Mississauga  
Page 2  
April 28th, 1975

R-5b

and the City will then be able to deal with it in the light of the whole piece of property that is left over.

We would appreciate it very much if you would have this matter brought before Council as quickly as possible so that this transaction can proceed.

Yours truly,

WEIR & MARKSON

Per: 

/bc



RECEIVED

REGISTRY NO. 4977

DATE JUN 5 - 1975

FILE NO. 41-75

CLERK'S DEPARTMENT

City of Mississauga

MEMORANDUM

R-6

To Mayor M. L. Dobkin, M.D. and

Dept. Members of Council

From William P. Taylor, P. Eng.

Commissioner,

Dept. Engineering, Works & Building.

June 5, 1975.

**SUBJECT** Proposed By-law to amend the City of Mississauga Building By-law Number 7431.

**COMMENTS** The Building By-law of this City is based on the National Building Code. It has been the practice to update the Building By-law as the National Building Code of Canada is updated. This is done generally about every five years.

We are now in receipt of the revised National Building Code of Canada 1975 and it is appropriate that our Building By-law be amended to reflect the new standards. The changes brought about as a result of enactment of this By-law would be technical in nature and reflect the latest construction methods and procedures.

The Building By-laws of the Port Credit and Streetsville areas of the City must be dealt with separately and require further research before an amending by-law is brought to Council's attention.

The Draft By-law as proposed has been approved by the City Solicitor.

**RECOMMENDATION** It is recommended that the attached Draft By-law be enacted by Council, as quickly as possible.

KAC  
KAC/pw

Yours truly,

*William P. Taylor*  
William P. Taylor, P. Eng.  
Commissioner.



**RECEIVED**

REGISTRY NO. 4978

DATE JUN 5 - 1975

FILE NO. 4-75

CLERK'S DEPARTMENT

**City of Mississauga****MEMORANDUM**

R-7

To Mayor M. L. Dobkin, M.D. and  
Dept. Members of Council.

From William P. Taylor, P. Eng.  
Commissioner,  
Dept. Engineering, Works & Building.

June 5, 1975.

**SUBJECT** Proposed By-law increasing the scale of fees for Building Permits.

**ORIGIN** 1975 Budget Discussions.

**COMMENTS** It is the aim of the Building Division to be self sustaining financially. The proposed By-law would have the effect of raising the cost of Building Permits by approximately 25%. This increase is in line with surrounding municipalities and the cost of processing plans under current conditions. Similar By-law amendments for the Streetsville and Port Credit areas of the City will be forthcoming, but this proposal will yield the additional necessary income quickly and should be dealt with immediately. The attached Draft By-law has been approved by the City Solicitor.

**RECOMMENDATION.** It is recommended that the attached Draft By-law be enacted by Council, as quickly as possible.

KAC  
KAC/pw

Yours truly,

*William P. Taylor*  
William P. Taylor, P. Eng.  
Commissioner.

P E T I T I O N

TO: City Clerk,  
City of Mississauga,  
Mississauga City Centre,  
Mississauga, Ontario.

<b>RECEIVED</b>	
REGISTRY NO.	4892
DATE	JUN 3-1975
FILE NO.	49-75
	46-75
CLERK'S DEPARTMENT	

P-1

THIS PETITION, dated May 5, 1975, signed by the residents listed below, requests STOP SIGNS on the North and South corners of APPLEWOOD RD., at BROOKS DR., in the City of Mississauga, in order to make it a four way stop. We are very disturbed about the welfare of our children due to the excessive rate of speed along APPLEWOOD RD. For this reason we also feel that speed limit signs should be erected on APPLEWOOD RD., north and south of BROOKS DR.

We also remind The City that there are a great number of school children walking on Brooks Dr. to the three schools on Ogden, as well as an increasing number of pre-school children in this area.

NAME

ADDRESS

Barbara Cameron  
H.O. Cameron  
Dania Salameh

1465 Applewood Rd.  
1465 Applewood Rd.  
1440 Applewood Rd.

Pat Cairns  
Alex Fiebert  
J's Lady

1446 Applewood Rd.  
1450 Applewood Rd.  
1456 Applewood Rd.  
1455 Applewood Rd.

M. Morris  
Lynda Neeking  
C. King

1409 Applewood Rd.  
1449 Applewood Rd.

W. Walters  
Miss E. H. H. H.  
S. C. H. H.  
J. D. DeLamere

1439 Applewood Rd.  
1429 Applewood Rd.  
1346 Applewood Rd.  
1425 Applewood Rd.

Laura Bryan  
Mary Joe Oliveira  
Penny Royce  
David J. Royce

1419 Applewood Rd.  
1436 Applewood Rd.  
1445 Applewood Rd.  
" " "

PETITION - RE STOP SIGN, APPLEWOOD RD.

Page 2

P-12

NAME	ADDRESS
Mavis Ruper	1475 Applewood Rd.
Naomi Jameson	1479 Applewood Rd.
W. August Roberts	1482 Applewood Rd.
Beth Newhook	1489 Applewood Rd.
Andrew Green	1505 Applewood Rd.
Melvin Perry	1509 Applewood Rd.
W. H. Mecklen	1515 Applewood Rd.
Jerry Adams	1545 Applewood Rd.
W. Beamish	1466 Applewood Rd.
E. D. King	1476 Applewood Rd.
D. Campbell	1486 Applewood Rd.
D. Parsons	1499 Applewood Rd.
E. S. Rowles	1516 Applewood Rd.
E. Rowles	1516 Applewood Rd.
Muriel Portune	1520 Applewood Rd.
Herbert Portune	1520 Applewood Rd.
J. Anderson	1526 Applewood Rd.
J. Dickson	1530 Applewood Rd.
M. Duckey	1530 Applewood Rd.
S. Cardinal	1536 Applewood Rd.
P. Moore	1540 Applewood Rd.
E. Polman	1546 Applewood Rd.

Dennis Smout  
 Glenna Smout  
 Mrs. Williams  
 Dorothy McKee  
 Stafford McKee  
 Mayome Kennedy  
 Jackie Jolly  
 Harold R. Parker  
 J. S. Smith  
 John Russell  
 S. Salameh  
 L. Salameh  
 Kyle McKay  
 G. Army  
 J. Army  
 B. Army

1529 Applewood Rd P-1b  
 " " " " " "  
 1435 Applewood Rd.  
 1426 Applewood Rd.  
 " " " "  
 1389 Applewood Rd  
 1376 Applewood Rd.  
 1490 Applewood Rd.  
 1519 Applewood Rd  
 1535 Applewood Rd.  
 1506 Applewood Rd  
 1506 Applewood Rd.  
 1459 Applewood Rd  
 1134 Brooks Dr.  
 1134 Brooks Dr.  
 1480 Applewood.

We trust that our Petition will be granted without delay as the summer is fast approaching and there will be an even greater number of school children playing.

If you desire any further information or wish to contact me, please feel free to do so, (Mrs.) Barbara Cameron, 1465 Applewood Rd., Mississauga. Telephone: 278-9632.

TO BE REFERRED TO TRAFFIC  
 SAFETY COUNCIL

(Mrs.) Barbara Cameron  
 for Petitioners





## City of Mississauga

### MEMORANDUM


P-2

To Ron Lathan

From Councillor David J. Culham

Dept. Clerk's

Dept. Ward 6



May 28, 1975

Ron:

Please place this item on the next Council Meeting under both motions and reports.

Report - Councillor David J. Culham

#### Disposition of Isabella Avenue

Presently the area between Stavebank and the Queensway is almost fully developed. No future internal traffic will be generated of any consequence. No need exists for any greater degree of accessibility. The present situation is desirable and acceptable. It works.

In order that property north of Isabella and east of Parker Drive can be properly developed, the disposition of both Isabella and Parker must be known. In addition I see no need to waste taxpayers money in building and bridging Isabella across the City property.

Therefore, I suggest the following proposals to Council:

(My Resolution)

Also find enclosed the petitions from the Ratepayers in an overwhelming support of the proposals that I suggest.

PETITION SIGNED BY THE OWNERS OF SOME 200 PROPERTIES  
RESOLUTION AVAILABLE



*Gordon Woods Homeowners' Association*

P-2a

MISSISSAUGA ONTARIO

May 15, 1975

Councillor David Culham,  
Mississauga City Centre,  
1 City Centre Drive,  
Mississauga, Ontario  
L5B 1M2

Dear Mr. Culham,

Enclosed please find a Petition concerning the disposition of Isabella Avenue. This Petition has been approved unanimously by the directors of the Gordon Woods Homeowners' Association at a meeting held on April 17, 1975 and subsequent to our meeting the street representatives from those streets directly affected by the contents of the Petition canvassed their streets and as a result, all residents on Harborn Trail, Parker Drive and Isabella Avenue have also signed this Petition. We feel that this is a progressive step and we heartily endorse it.

At our directors' meeting on April 17, 1975 a number of other issues were discussed, including your memorandum dated April 14, 1975 issued to the ratepayers of Seven Oaks and Gordon Woods neighbourhoods. While we generally favour the proposals made in your April 14 memo, we are somewhat disturbed with the permanency aspect of the Stillmeadow-Lynchmere barrier as well as the possible re-design of the Stavebank-Queensway intersection. Our concern on both these matters relates to the technical problems of actual implementation of the alternate proposals approved by City Council for Gordon Drive, i.e. the right-hand sweep lane for north bound traffic on Gordon and the T intersection at Harborn and Premium Way. It appears to the directors of the Gordon Woods Homeowners Association that a one year period for the Gordon Drive barrier may expire before actual construction of these two alternate proposals and in that event, of course, Gordon Drive would be subjected to greatly increased traffic flows after removal of the barrier. This would occur undoubtedly because of the increased density of population in the sector to the north and west of our neighbourhood as well as the recent installation of traffic lights at the intersection of Confederation Drive and Queensway West. It appears to us that the new traffic lights will undoubtedly lead to traffic line-ups west of Confederation which, possibly, will be further inducement to traffic entering the un-barrierised

...../

P-26

Councillor David Culham

May 15, 1975

Gordon Drive.


As you are probably already aware through either Mrs. Wilson or Mr. Schultz, our directors are concerned that the preliminary engineering drawing of the proposed traffic island at the north end of Gordon Drive is inadequate. As Mr. Schultz has already indicated, our concept of this intersection was to build a right-hand sweep lane which would not only act as a deterrent to north bound traffic on Gordon making left turns but would also ensure that there would be no east bound traffic from Queensway West making a right-hand turn into Gordon Drive.

Until we have some assurances of protection from massive traffic flows upon the expiry of the Gordon Drive barrier, we will not accede to your request for a re-design of the Stavebank-Queensway intersection and/or the Stillmeadow-Lynchmere barrier becoming permanent. In other words, having tasted the ugly effects of massive traffic flow, we are not prepared to agree to either of these items until we as a neighbourhood are either assured of protection from increasing traffic flows or we see the entire problem shared with other neighbourhoods, including Seven Oaks and Lynchmere.

Thank you for your consideration.

Yours very truly,

GORDON WOODS HOMEOWNERS' ASSOCIATION



D.A.C. Stewart  
Treasurer

DACS/bs

Encl.

P.20


May 21, 1975

Councillor D. J. Culham  
City of Mississauga,  
Mississauga, Ontario

Dear Mr. Culham:

Enclosed please find copies of the petition of homeowners of the Seven Oaks Ratepayers Association indicating their overwhelming support of the closing of Isabella Avenue at its present location. We distributed material to the residents of the area and subsequently followed this up with door-to-door calls at each house. Our canvassers found the homeowners to be in strong support of measures that will reduce the volume of through traffic within our area thus preserving its ecological features and preserving the values of our properties.

Yours truly,



Martin R. Taylor  
Chairman  
Traffic Committee

encl.



May 21, 1975

RECEIVED

REGISTRY NO. 4945

DATE JUN 5 - 1975

FILE NO. P5075  
49-75

CLERK'S DEPARTMENT

Hazel MacCallion  
Councillor  
City of Mississauga

P-3

Madam:

As a result of the conversation I had with you at your home I decided to take up a small petition of my own to satisfy in my mind if the people of Vista Drive really want a sidewalk. I tried to remain impartial in this poll and stayed only on Vista Drive which I felt was important and pertinent to this petition. I ~~took~~ this petition from Thomas St. to the base of the church which is approx. how far the sidewalk will extend on the east side of Vista Drive.

As you can see from the petition, the vote is approximately 55% against and 45% in favour of the sidewalks. Many of the people that stated "No" including myself are in favour of the sidewalk but not in the present manner in which they will be laid. We all feel that if sidewalks are to be laid they should be properly laid with curbs and gutters. Also from the petition you will note that some of the people that answered "Yes" feel that this will not make the street any safer!

Without belabouring this letter I will try to make some comments and recommendations from the people and myself:

Resident at #130 - if the sidewalks are not going in on my side - sure!

Resident at #134 - we have done without a sidewalk for 17 yrs. why now!

Resident at #132 - I feel sorry for the people on the east side - all that nice landscaping gone to waste - I have 4 children grown up and have done without.

Resident at #125 -(Portuguese people) felt they can't fight city hall

Resident at #135 - I have 4 boys and they have no problems getting to school!

The people and myself feel that the approved project should be put off until the time comes when the city can properly install a sidewalk with curbs and gutters and pave the street. Until that time, maybe a temporary school crossing could be installed, a stop sign in the vicinity of the church bothways, a reduction in the speed limit to 15 or 20 MPH. and proper enforcement by the Peel Regional Police Department.

Respectfully Submitted;

*Barry Michalowicz*

Barry Michalowicz

133 Vista Drive

825-2972  
and the PEOPLE AND RESIDENTS OF VISTA DRIVE.

R-744

REFERRED TO ENGINEER FOR REPORT TO GENERAL COMMITTEE

Are you aware that sidewalks have been approved on the east side of Vista Dr?

House #	Name	Are you in favour of a sidewalk?	Do you think this will make it safer & reduce speeders?	Have you been approached by a petitioner concerning this matter before?
135	G. B. VUMA	NO	NO - STOPPISNS NEED FOR CHANG.	NO.
133	B. Nicholas	NO	NO	NO.
131	G. Zimmer	NO	NO	YES
137	M. Gorgie	Yes	Yes	Yes
139				
141				
130	James Sama	YES	YES	YES
140	<del>B. B. B.</del>	Yes	NO	NO
138	<del>James Sama</del>	YES	NOT COMPLETE ENFORCED STOP SIGNS	YES
136	G. Clifford	YES	YES.	NO
134	J. W. ANG RES	NO	NO	NO
129				
132	A. Hodgson	NO	NO	YES
127	Dr. Smith	NO	NO	YES
125	J. Ferreira	Yes	Yes	YES
123	A. L. L.	NO	NO	YES

## The Regional Municipality of Peel

I-1

May 28, 1975.

Mr. D. R. Turcotte,  
Clerk,  
City of Mississauga,  
1 City Centre Drive,  
Mississauga, Ontario.  
L5B 1M2



Dear Mr. Turcotte:

Subject: Mississauga Official Plan Study,  
Our Reference P-82-75

I am enclosing a copy of a report from the Commissioner of Planning regarding the Mississauga Official Plan Study which was considered by the Planning Committee on May 15, 1975.

Council on May 22, 1975 approved the following recommendation:

"That the Commissioner of Planning and the Regional Planning staff participate with the City of Mississauga in the evaluation of the consultants' reports on the Mississauga Official Plan Study with a view to formulating an Official Plan for the City of Mississauga as input into the Regional Official Plan, and that the recommendations of the Regional Planning staff be processed through the Regional Planning Committee and Council."

Richard L. Frost, M.A.,  
Regional Clerk.

...../lr

DAH

encl

cc: R. Edmunds, Commissioner of Planning, Mississauga  
P. E. Allen, Regional Commissioner of Planning

TO BE RECEIVED

THE REGIONAL MUNICIPALITY OF PEEL  
PLANNING DEPARTMENT

May 15, 1975.

The Chairman and Members  
of the Planning Committee.

I-1a

Re: MISSISSAUGA OFFICIAL PLAN STUDY

The consultants for the Mississauga Official Plan Study (Mississauga Urban Development and Transportation Study) presented a series of five reports to Mississauga Council, Citizens' Advisory Panel and the Study Committee, on May 2, 1975. The five reports submitted were:-

1. Mississauga Evaluation and Recommendations
2. Mississauga Transportation
3. Mississauga Centres
4. Mississauga Finance
5. Mississauga Development Controls

I will obtain additional copies of the series of reports for distribution to the Planning Committee and Council.

The reports themselves do not constitute a draft Official Plan for Mississauga but rather present a series of recommendations with respect to planning, transportation, social and economic considerations, etc.. Extensive citizen participation, as well as technical analysis, is still required in converting the consultants' reports into a draft Official Plan. An anticipated date for the draft Official Plan is mid-1976.

I understand that Mississauga proposes to establish a task force or steering committee which will assist in directing the study from this point onwards. Mr. Edmunds, Commissioner of Planning for Mississauga, advises me that the Commissioner of Public Works and myself, will be requested to act on this committee when formed. In the past, the Regional Chairman and Councillor J. I. McMullen, together with the Commissioner of Public Works and the Commissioner of Planning, have been members of the Mississauga Study Committee and, in fact, the new committee, which will be a purely technical committee, is more or less an extension of this earlier committee.

In principle, there is no question that it is in Mississauga's interest and the Region's interest, for Regional staff to be involved at this formulative stage. However, I would like to point out the degree of involvement necessary on behalf of the Regional Planning staff. In addition to myself, the following staff will need to be involved:-



- (a) Director of Planning Policy, together with several of his staff, including:-
- Sr. Planner - Land Use
  - Sr. Planner - Economist
  - Sr. Planner - Social
  - Sr. Planner - Housing
- I-16

The overall concern of the Director of Planning Policy will be adapting or molding Mississauga proposals to conform to the Regional objectives as they are identified so that when the Mississauga Official Plan is submitted to the Region, it will hopefully conform completely to Regional objectives.

- (b) Director of Transportation Planning, together with his supporting staff, will be directly concerned with the transportation proposals in the report. I would point out that this area could be one of the major contentious aspects of the proposals.
- (c) Director of Development Control and his staff, will be concerned with the 5th volume of the report entitled 'Development Controls' and it will be necessary to establish a system of controls not only acceptable to Mississauga but also to the Province and the Region.

An alternative approach to becoming completely involved in the Mississauga Study at this stage would be to sit back and await Mississauga's submission to the Region. This approach is not recommended as it is hoped that most, if not all, areas of possible conflict between the Region and Mississauga, can be overcome through the discussion and evolutionary process.

Regional input into the Mississauga Official Plan can occur at two levels - at the staff level or at the political level. It is suggested that the latter be the level of involvement. If involvement only occurred at the staff level, the possibility exists of the Regional Planning Committee and/or Council, taking a different position than the Regional Planning staff. To avoid this, the involvement of both the Planning Committee and Council is suggested.

The first step in the process will be an evaluation by the staff of the consultants' five reports and the preparation of a report, together with recommendations, for submission to the Regional Planning Committee and Council. Recommendations forthcoming from the Planning Committee and Council will then be submitted to the Mississauga Steering Committee.

Due to the extent of the material prepared by the consultants and the magnitude of the proposals, it is expected that the Regional staff will require up to three months before reporting to the Planning Committee.

RECOMMENDATION

I-1c

That the Commissioner of Planning and the Regional Planning staff participate with the City of Mississauga in the evaluation of the consultants' reports, with a view to formulating an Official Plan for the City of Mississauga as input into the Regional Official Plan, and that the recommendations of the Regional Planning staff be processed through the Regional Planning Committee and Council.

*Peter E. Allen*

Peter E. Allen,  
Commissioner of Planning.

PEA/aj

*Ok.*  
*[Signature]*

PLANNING MANAGEMENT  
SERVICES LIMITED

RECEIVED

REGISTRY NO. 4771

DATE MAY 30 1975

FILE NO. 12-75

CLERK'S DEPARTMENT

Suite 331, 4195 Dundas Street West  
Toronto, Canada  
M8X 1Y4 (416) 231-1116

May 27, 1975


File: 325

DAVID J. WILLIAMS  
B.A., M.C.I.P., A.I.P., M.R.T.P.I.  
Ontario Land Economist

J. PATRICK SWEET  
B.E.S., M.C.I.P.  
Ontario Land Economist

Mayor M. Dobkin and Council  
City of Mississauga  
1 City Centre Drive  
Mississauga, Ontario  
L5B 1M2

RE: Mississauga Official Plan Review -  
Wards 4 and 9



Dear Mr. Mayor:


Further to my letter of April 28th 1975, your acknowledgement, and Council's subsequent action on May 12th 1975, whereby copies were sent to Mr. R. Edmunds and Mr. W. Taylor, we have reviewed the Brief prepared by the Citizens Advisory Panel for Ward 4 and, generally, we endorse its content.

With respect to the comments made in paragraph 2 of the Brief regarding "noise factors", we will be examining this matter in greater detail as part of our further studies. However, we wish to state that, in our opinion, a satisfactory Land Use Plan for North Central Mississauga can be devised at this time, notwithstanding your Consultants' findings and recommendations (M.U.D.T.S., Volume 1, p.5, Item 4).

Over the next weeks and months we will be working on proposals for our clients' lands, and trust that we shall have the full cooperation of your Staff.

This letter is submitted on behalf of our clients by our associate consultants - Rogers, Thompson Associates and ourselves.

Yours very truly,  
PLANNING MANAGEMENT SERVICES LIMITED

  
D. J. Williams - President


cc - Mr. R.G.B. Edmunds

TO BE RECEIVED

DJW:mp

I-2


Mayor M. L. Dobkin, MD,  
1, City Centre Drive,  
Mississauga.



*Send to Council*  
Creditview Road,  
RR 6 Mississauga,  
Ontario L5M 2B5.

I-3

May 27th, 1975.



Dear Sir,

Hole in Doughnut

I am writing to offer an individual point of view regarding the rather wide gap between your consultant's report on the development of Mississauga, and the apparent attitude of many people who live in this area, as set out in the petition dated May 22nd.

Firstly, I would like to congratulate all concerned with the report, on their recommendation that the north central area should remain unchanged until 1990. I established my home here because of it's situation within a green belt, and it can stay like this for ever, as far as I am concerned. In return for the privilege of living in pleasant countryside, yet within one hour of Toronto, I am prepared to accept things like septic tanks, rudimentary public transport and so forth. Not so, however, the people who signed the petition. I have been to one of these meetings, and I will tell you, sir, that the reason most people want "development" to come to this area is so that they can sell their house or land at a fantastic profit to a developer, and go to live somewhere else.

Well, good luck to them, but personally I do not regard this as a logical basis for planning the growth of a city.

The petition dated May 22nd was pre-printed and railroaded through the meeting. It's wording means nothing to me (and, I suspect, to the majority of people who signed it). It is merely a delaying action, in the hope that Council's agreement will eventually be obtained to cover the entire place with concrete, sewage pipes and high density housing.

To keep this letter short and (I hope) readable, I have appended a list of the pro-development arguments, most of which, to me, border on the imbecilic.

I trust you will consider these aspects before reaching a decision.

Yours very truly,

*John C. Tysoe*  
John C. Tysoe.

TO BE RECEIVED  
COPY SENT R. EDMUNDS  
AND ROD MCDOUGALL



HOLE IN DOUGHNUT

I-3a

PRO DEVELOPMENT

1. We are paying high taxes, and should get something in return.
2. The land here is not suitable for agriculture.
3. Such buses as there are, run along some roads which have no houses on them, therefore houses should be built.
4. There are no sewage pipes !
5. Transport to school is difficult.

MY VIEW

Yes, to be left alone.

Good job the settlers who built all the farms around here didn't know that ! Actually, what is meant is that the financial return from farming is less than that obtained by selling one's farm to a developer.

This, from a school trustee !  
By the same token, presumably the Trans-Canada highway should have houses at 200-foot intervals from here to B. C.

Hard luck. You should have thought of that before coming to live out here.

See above.

ONE LAST OBSERVATION

I have never lived in a hole, and the north central area does not, however I look at it, resemble a doughnut. I object most vehemently to this description of the area in which I live. If it must be dragged through these interminable discussions, can it not be spoken of in a civilised manner ?

Ridiculing what you wish to destroy is a favourite trick of professional railroaders.

*J. H. G.*

**The Regional Municipality of Peel**

I-4

May 23, 1975

Mr. D. R. Turcotte  
Clerk  
City of Mississauga  
One City Centre Drive  
Mississauga, Ontario  
L5B 1M2



Dear Sir:

Subject: Conservation Authority Capital Expenditures  
Our File AF-131-75

Please be advised of the following resolution which was adopted by Regional Council at its meeting held May 22, 1975.

"That the three Area Municipalities be asked to direct all of their requests to the Conservation Authorities by way of the Region, which is designated under the Conservation Authorities Act as a "participating municipality", in order that agreement may be reached between the Area Municipality involved and the Region as to whether the local share of the costs thereof is to be assumed by the Region as a whole or by the Area Municipality before the request is forwarded to the Conservation Authority."

I would ask that you draw this resolution to the attention of your Council.

Richard L. Frost, M.A.  
Regional Clerk

RLF:ls

TO BE RECEIVED. COPY SENT TO D. OGILVIE

150 CENTRAL PARK DRIVE, BRAMALEA, ONTARIO L6T 2V1 - 416 - 457 - 9400





*Send to Council*

**Meadowvale Village Community Association**

Box #44 - Meadowvale Village, Ontario  
L0J 1K0 457-2045

**I-5**



May 17th, 1975

Mayor and Council  
City of Mississauga  
1 City Centre Drive  
Mississauga, Ontario

Members of Council:

Please find enclosed a copy of a letter sent to the Council of the Regional Municipality of Peel regarding our concern over the sites for solid waste disposal.

Yours truly,

MEADOWVALE VILLAGE  
COMMUNITY ASSOCIATION

:srs  
Att.

TO BE RECEIVED  
COPY SENT TO W. TAYLOR  
AND R. EDMUNDS

TO PRESERVE THE QUALITY OF LIFE.



**Meadowvale Village Community Association**

Box #44— Meadowvale Village, Ontario  
L0J 1K0 457-2045

I-5a

May 17th, 1975

The Chairman and Members of Council  
Regional Municipality of Peel  
150 Central Park Drive  
Brampton, Ontario L6T 2V1

**Members of Council:**

It has come to the attention of the Meadowvale Village Community Association that the Ministry of the Environment cannot agree that the Heart Lake Road site for solid waste disposal should be given further consideration. This causes us a great deal of concern because the second alternate site is located directly north of Meadowvale Village.

We are opposed to Meadowvale being considered as a site for solid waste disposal and have previously communicated this opposition to both the Regional Council and the Brampton Council. We find the Meadowvale site unacceptable because of:

1. The danger of polluting the Credit River and Fletchers Creek;
2. The possible contamination of wells, which are our only source of water;
3. The commitment made by Mississauga Council toward the preservation and conservation of Meadowvale Village, which is not consistent with garbage dumps and the truck traffic which accompanies them; and
4. The existence of other sites.

With the rapid urbanization of the Regional Municipality of Peel, long-term solutions are needed for the disposal of solid waste. Very serious consideration must be given to the environmental and economic benefits of a recycling program.

The main thrust of our community association is to enhance and maintain the quality of life in Meadowvale Village while keeping its antiquity for all the surrounding towns and cities to enjoy. We believe this important aspect of society is fast disappearing since few communities like ours still exist in this area. We would like a commitment from the Regional Municipality of Peel and the Province of Ontario that Meadowvale will not be considered as a site for solid waste disposal.

Thank you.

Yours very truly,

RESOLUTION AVAILABLE  
COPY TO R. EDMUNDS & W. TAYLOR

John Gray & Bob McKeracher  
On behalf of: MEADOWVALE

cc: Mayor and Council MEADOWVALE VILLAGE COMMUNITY ASSOCIATION



Box 28,  
Meadowvale Village, Ontario

I-6

Mayor Dobkin,  
1 City Centre Drive,  
Mississauga, Ontario.

Dear Mayor Dobkin:

I am writting to you beasuse I am very concerned about the future of the village where I live. I am thirteen years old and have not lived in Meadowvale Village very long but moved here from a well established area that had everything ---- everything but fields, trees, horses, farms, et cetera. My friends and I enjoy bicycling, hiking, horseback riding and long walks through the Conservation Area. However since I moved here, in a short period of time our school has been over crowded by children bussed from a nearby area which has been there long enough to have its own school; the traffic on Derry Road is so bad that sometimes we can't get across the road when we come home from school --- to say nothing of the big trucks at all hours. All the things that are happening we are told we must accept because it is progress --- I don't think progress is all it is supposed to be. Lots of days there are little dead rabbits, and other animals dead on the road, we have seen deer here -- but not recently, the little animals will soon all be gone.

I would like to see Meadowvale Village and lother historical villages restored and/or incorporated into the new area so that they do not lose their identity. My reasons for preserving Meadowvale Village are ones I share with many other people. 1) It is one of a very few places that has been kept in good condition. 2) It has many liesure time advantages that people live near and do not have to use the car to get to but can use the bicycle. 3) As I mentioned before there are lots of things to do. 4) It has been the home of many different people who care about where they live and what it looks like ---- since 1836 and there are people living here now who where born here. 5) Most important of all Meadowvale Village has the advantage of having been established in a very scenic part of Mississauga --- this is quickly being changed but can be easily reverted to natures beauty.

I was very upset to find that people were planning to destroy Meadowvale Village by putting a garbage dump in on 2nd Line West and the widening of Derry Road West which is just in front of my house. The widening of Derry Road West would cause several famillies to move not to mention the fact that our house is 100 yrs old and the others are much older, and they would be simply torn down. The garbage dump would cause alot of big truck traffic and would certainly drag down our area -- have you ever just sat and looked at a dump burning and smelled it? Nobody would want to live here. Why does progress for new areas have to ruin beautiful old places that have lovely big trees, lots of natural wild life and historic buildings.

I am very grateful that you have read this letter and I hope you not only read it over and think about it but that you help keep Meadowvale Village safe from further progress.

RESOLUTION AVAILABLE  
COPY TO R. EDMUNDS & W. TAYLOR

Sincerely, Janet Howard

STEERING COMMITTEE  
RESTRUCTURING  
MUNICIPAL  
UTILITIES

May 28, 1975

I-7

The Corporation of the  
City of Mississauga  
1 City Centre Drive  
Mississauga, Ontario  
L5B 1M2

Attention: Mr. D.R. Turcott



Dear Mr. Turcott:

Re: Restructuring of Municipal Utilities  
in the Regional Municipality of Peel

Further to our letter of April 23, 1975 it is clearly evident that there is ample interest in favour of establishing a local study team for the restructuring of municipal utilities in Peel Region.


As suggested in our previous letter, we feel it would be timely to hold an informal meeting of all the concerned municipal authorities in an endeavour to establish the local study team and thereby to initiate the study itself. Accordingly, we are requesting your presence at such a meeting or that of your delegate to represent your interests.

We are mailing a similar request to each municipal council and hydro commission within the Region of Peel as listed on the attached sheets.

The meeting will be held at 1:30 p.m. on Monday, June 16, 1975 at the Airport Hilton Hotel, 5875 Airport Road, Mississauga, in the Mississauga Room.

We trust this time and place meets your concurrence and look forward to meeting with you.

Yours truly,

  
Sidney A. Baldwin  
Chairman

jw  
enc.

TO BE RECEIVED

620 UNIVERSITY AVE., TORONTO, ONT.  
M5G 1X6  
222-5318



EH  
JMT  
EG

I-8

the metropolitan toronto and region conservation authority  
5 shoreham drive • downsvew ontario m3n 1s4 (416) 661-6600

May 22nd, 1975

Mr. Terence L. Julian  
Deputy City Clerk  
City of Mississauga  
1 City Centre Drive  
Mississauga, Ontario  
L5B 1M2

RECEIVED	
REGISTRY NO.	4560
DATE	MAY 26 1975
FILE NO.	103-75/ 60-75/ 203-75
CLERK'S DEPARTMENT	

Dear Mr. Julian:

re Preliminary Assessment  
Linear Park - Etobicoke Creek  
Your file 103-75, 60-75 and 203-75

This will acknowledge receipt of your letter of May 14th concerning Council's action with respect to the above-noted matter.

The Borough of Etobicoke has recommended that a staff committee similar to that suggested in your item (iii) to coordinate detailed planning be established and the Authority has advised the Borough that it concurs with this method of proceeding and that W. A. McLean, Director of Planning and Policy, has been designated as the Authority's representative to the committee.

Sincerely,

*F. L. Lunn*  
F. L. Lunn

/pl

RESOLUTION AVAILABLE

R. G. Henderson  
Chairman

Mrs. F. Gell  
Vice Chairman

Rec'd - Date		MAY 27 1975	
S. & Works - File			
Initials	Date		
<i>[Signature]</i>	27/5/75		

K. G. Higgs R.P.F.  
Director of Operations

F. L. Lunn  
Secretary-treasurer



A 75164

E-9

Ontario Municipal Board

RECEIVED

REGISTRY NO. 4791

DATE JUN 2-1975

FILE NO.

CLERK'S DEPARTMENT

IN THE MATTER OF Section 42 of  
The Planning Act (R.S.O. 1970,  
c. 349) as amended,

- and -

IN THE MATTER OF an appeal by  
The Corporation of the City  
of Mississauga from a decision  
of the Committee of Adjustment  
of the City of Mississauga

APPOINTMENT FOR HEARING

The Corporation of the City of Mississauga having appealed from a decision of the Committee of Adjustment of the City of Mississauga dated the 16th day of January, 1975, whereby the Committee granted an application by Port Credit Lumber Company Limited for a variance from the provisions of By-law 1227 of the former Town of Port Credit, now the City of Mississauga, as amended, to permit a change in the use of premises known municipally as 150 Queen Street West from that of manufacturing lumber products to that of manufacturing industrial cleaning compounds, notwithstanding that this will be an extension of a legal non-conforming use; upon the condition set out in the said decision;

THE ONTARIO MUNICIPAL BOARD hereby appoints Friday, the 25th day of July, 1975, at the hour of two o'clock (local time) in the afternoon, at the Board's Chambers, 123 Edward Street, Sixth Floor, in the City of Toronto, for the hearing of all persons who desire to be heard in support of or in opposition to the appeal.

If you do not attend and are not represented at this hearing, the Board may proceed in your absence and you will not be entitled to any further notice of the proceedings.

TO BE RECEIVED. COPY HAS BEEN SENT  
TO B. CLARK, R. EDMUNDS AND W. TAYLOR



In the event the decision is reserved persons taking part in the hearing may request a copy of the decision from the presiding Board Member. Such decision will be mailed to you when available.

DATED at Toronto this 29th day of May, 1975.

SECRETARY

F. W. WOOLWORTH CO. LIMITED  
88 ADELAIDE STREET WEST, TORONTO, ONTARIO M5H 1P5

I-10

OFFICE OF THE  
VICE-PRESIDENT  
OPERATIONS

PLEASE PRINT NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO.

<b>RECEIVED</b>	
REGISTRY NO.	4929
DATE	JUN 4 - 1975
FILE NO.	31-75-
CLERK'S DEPARTMENT	

REGISTERED MAIL

Mr. L.M. McGillivray,  
Deputy City Clerk,  
City of Mississauga,  
1 City Centre Drive,  
Mississauga, Ont.

May 30th, 1975.

Dear Mr. McGillivray,

As the owner of lot Plan No. 528, Plot No. 7, I am becoming very much alarmed and concerned over the continual erosion which I am experiencing on my land, caused by the changing water flow of the Cooksville Creek.

I previously wrote your office on June 11th last year, after severe damage was caused to my property, as a result of the heavy rainstorm on May 16th. I also received a reply turning down my claim for damage.

Because of the original damage, the erosion is continuing at a very severe pace. The pattern of the water flow of the Creek is continually being affected by the increased amount of construction and new housing in the areas north. I might also add that I am not the only resident whose property has received a great amount of damage.

I would very much appreciate it, if you would have someone in your department review this situation with us as I think some concern on behalf of your department should be shown here as well as some action taken immediately to prevent this becoming a disastrous situation.

cont'd.....

INFORMATION TO BE RECEIVED.  
REFERRED TO CITY ENGINEER WITH  
COPY TO C.V.C.A.

I-10a

--- 2 ---

Mr. L.M. McGillivray


May 30th, 1975.

I have been advised that I do not have the authority to take the necessary steps to prevent this but, as a Tax-Payer, I think some co-operation should be given from your department to put into effect the necessary action to correct this situation and prevent further damage.

As mentioned previously, I would very much appreciate having a representative from your department assess the situation before I consider any other action.

I look forward to hearing from you.

Yours truly,

  
F.E. HENNIG.

ew

Home Address:-

1488 Elaine Trail,  
Mississauga, Ont.  
278-2998.  
361-2189 (Bus.)

I-11



Mr. Len. Taylor,  
Public Relations Officer,  
Central Region,  
Ontario Hydro Commission,  
5760 Yonge Street,  
Willowdale, Ontario.



June 3, 1975

Dear Sir:

Historically, and almost as a geographic accident, the residents of the Lakeview area of the City of Mississauga have been required to accept major public works and facilities which serve vast areas of the provincial jurisdiction but have an adverse affect on the immediate environment.

The major South Peel Sewage Plant operated by the Department of the Environment and the Lakeview Hydro Generating Plant share the Lakeview waterfront and dominate the skyline.

For years I've argued that all levels of government should participate in a rehabilitation and beautification program of the Lakeshore Road corridor to balance in some degree the inevitable adverse affect created by the proximity of these facilities. I was therefore delighted to note the very substantial start Ontario Hydro has given this program by landscaping the hydro right-of-way at it's intersection with Lakeshore Road.

The program appears to be a very ambitious one, with major berming and extensive plantings. There is no doubt in my mind that Ontario Hydro's initiative has provided an incentive for other public and private bodies to join in the program of rehabilitation.

As Councillor for Ward 7 I would like to extend to the Commission my gratitude and the thanks of the residents and the business community.

c.c. Mr. R. D. Kennedy, M.P.P.  
Mayor and Council ✓

Sincerely,

*Ron Searle*  
Ron Searle,  
Councillor-Ward 7

INFORMATION - TO BE RECEIVED



May 12, 1975

I-12

To Whom it may Concern —

I am requesting permission on behalf of the residents of Lana Terrace, Mississauga, to close our street for a street party Saturday June 21st 1975. We plan a childrens food and games party from 5 to 6 PM to 8 PM. and an adults buffet dinner dance to follow.

As Lana Terrace is a crescent we intended to close both ends from #415 to #549. The party, however, will focus between house #469 and #525.

<b>RECEIVED</b>
REGISTRY NO. 4263
DATE MAY 13 1975
FILE NO. 7-75
CLERK'S DEPARTMENT

Diane Daniel  
483 Lana Terrace  
Mississauga  
270-7064

(PETITION CONTAINS 103 SIGNATURES)

DOUGLAS K. BURROWS, B.A.  
Chief of Police



PEEL REGIONAL  
POLICE FORCE  
168 KENNEDY ROAD, SOUTH  
BRAMPTON, ONTARIO

L6W 3G6

28th May, 1975.

I-12a


Telephone: Area Code 416  
453-3311

Address all correspondence to  
The Chief of Police  
Referring to:  
Our File No. ....  
Your File No. ....  
Attention of .....



Mr. Ronald C. Lathan, A.M.C.  
Clerk's Assistant,  
City of Mississauga,  
1 City Centre Drive,  
Mississauga, Ontario.  
LSB 1M2

Dear Sir:



Re: Your File 7-75 - Lana Terrace (Permission  
for Street Party, June 21) R #708

I am directed by Chief D.K. Burrows, to reply to your  
letter of the 14th May, 1975, with reference to the above.

Officers of this Division have examined the letter and  
Petition in question, and investigated the contents. It has been  
established there will be no alcoholic beverages and the persons taking  
part in the event will be the residents of the immediate area.

The organizer, Mrs. Diane Daniel, was advised that  
if an emergency situation arises the party will be cancelled immediately  
and the road block removed. One resident objected to the closing of the  
road and it has been agreed that the barriers will be placed beyond  
this driveway, thus allowing clear access in the event of visitors to  
this home.

At this particular time, there is no reason, from  
our point of view, why the request should not be granted.

I trust the above information will be sufficient for  
your requirements.

Yours truly,

R. Collins - Superintendent  
#11 Division

;pw

RESOLUTION AVAILABLE

I-13  
2179 Greenhurst Avenue  
Mississauga, Ontario

Mr. Dennis Flynn, Mayor  
The Borough of Etobicoke  
Municipal Offices - Civic Centre  
Etobicoke, Ontario

RECEIVED


REGISTRY NO. 4774

DATE MAY 30 1975

FILE NO. 150-75

CLERK'S DEPARTMENT

May 21st, 1975



Dear Sir:

I bring to your attention a situation which exists at the Etobicoke Creek ravine, on the Etobicoke side of the river, between The Queensway and Sherway Drive.

Day after day, and well into the night, extremely noisy motorcycles are being raced up and down the bank of the ravine, immediately below the Queensway Hospital.

Indoors, with doors and windows closed, the noise is most disturbing and annoying. Outdoors, it is deafening and unbearable.

I'm at a loss to understand how the Queensway Hospital puts up with it, being so close, in view of the problems it creates for property owners like myself on the west bank of the river.

I am also concerned about damage done to the environment by the machines, which is considerable. After all, we have few enough natural green areas remaining to allow unnecessary destruction.

When The Queensway bridge was built across the Etobicoke Creek, the high, steep banks immediately south were sodded to retain them and prevent erosion. I suggest you inspect the bank on the east side at the Ontario Hydro right-of-way - it has been literally torn apart by the motorcycles. A real waste of taxpayers' money.

Numerous calls to Metro Police by a number of residents on the west bank have had absolutely no response.

Being a resident of Mississauga, I hesitate to ask for help from the head of another municipality. However, the problem which confronts us is located in Etobicoke. The residents on the Mississauga side of the river, like all others, are entitled to quiet enjoyment of their properties, and we solicit your immediate assistance.

Yours very truly,

D.E. Paterson/p

*D.E. Paterson*

c.c. - Ma  
- Me  
- Me

TO BE RECEIVED

ssauga

Authority



CITY OF PETERBOROUGH  
CANADA

I-14

<b>RECEIVED</b>
REGISTRY NO. 4614
DATE MAY 27 1975
FILE NO. 67-75
CLERK'S DEPARTMENT

City Clerk's Office,  
May 26, 1975.

Mr. D. R. Turcotte,  
City Clerk,  
1 City Centre Drive,  
Mississauga, Ontario.  
L5B 1M2

Dear Mr. Turcotte:

I wish to inform you that City Council at its meeting held May 20, 1975, passed the following resolution:

"WHEREAS studies show that the system of re-cycling glass containers into new containers consumes over three times as much energy as a re-useable system does;

AND WHEREAS the re-use of glass containers reduces post consumer waste, mining waste and consumption of virgin raw material;

AND WHEREAS studies show that re-use of glass containers increases total employment;

AND WHEREAS non-refillable glass and metal containers are a singularly large source of non-biodegradable litter in this province;

BE IT RESOLVED that the Province of Ontario immediately declares its intention to ban, under the Environmental Protection Act of 1971, non-refillable glass and metal packaging for all non-alcoholic beverages as the first step in promoting the greater use of a returnable glass container system throughout the Province and in consultation with manufacturers and retailers, clear timetables should be established to allow manufacturers retailers and public adequate time to prepare for the change;

contd.....

PETERBOROUGH TO BE ADVISED TO SUBMIT  
THEIR RESOLUTION TO THE PROPER  
ASSOCIATION FOR ATTENTION



City of Peterborough


I-14a

-2-

AND FURTHER that this resolution be forwarded to the Premier of Ontario, the Ministry of the Environment and Mr. John Turner, M.P.P., the Association of Municipalities of Ontario and to all other municipalities in Ontario for their endorsement and their transmittal of such endorsement to the Ontario Government."

Will you kindly place this resolution before your Council for consideration of its endorsement.

Yours sincerely,



S. Hendry,  
City Clerk.

/kf



# GRAPHIC ARTS INTERNATIONAL UNION

6 ADELAIDE STREET EAST • SUITE 604 • TORONTO, ONTARIO M5C 1H6

President: C. BUHLER

DATE MAY 30 1975

FILE NO. 7-75

CLERK'S DEPARTMENT

MAY 29 1975

I-15

*Re: Public Utility Bill*

Re: NCR struck work - G.A.I.U., 28-B.

MAYOR'S OFFICE

NCR Canada Limited provoked a strike April 1, 75, of 120 employees engaged in processing, storage, and shipping of business Forms in Rexdale, Ontario. The Company proposition for a seriously sub-standard contract was turned down 105 to five. All employees involved in the factory at Marmac Dr. and the warehouse at Baywood Rd. are on strike.

Despite the Union attempt to resume bargaining, by modifying its position, in Mediation, the Company has refused to respond. The Company is not bargaining in good faith.

Meanwhile, NCR is subcontracting its work to outside shops. They play a strikebreaking role. Strike-breakers are now processing and and transporting NCR work.

In our view, while this strike is in progress all NCR paper products normally processed in Rexdale, and/or channeled through those facilities should be regarded as struck work and treated accordingly.

We most sincerely would appreciate your co-operation in this matter.

Yours sincerely,  
*C. Buhler*  
C. Buhler,  
President.

TO BE RECEIVED

FORMERLY INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD OF BOOKBINDERS, LOCAL No. 28  
NOW AMALGAMATED WITH LPIU FORMING GRAPHIC ARTS INTERNATIONAL UNION



## City of Mississauga

### MEMORANDUM

To MAYOR & MEMBERS OF COUNCIL From Terence L. Julian  
Dept. \_\_\_\_\_ Deputy City Clerk

June 6, 1975.

Ladies and Gentlemen:


Re: Citizenship Office -  
Our File: 7-75

The Citizenship Office of the Secretary of State has requested space in the Municipal Building on a regular basis to process applications for citizenship. The Department has a similar arrangement in the Boroughs of Scarborough and Etobicoke.

The Clerk's Office has arranged with the Secretary of State to provide Committee Room A from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. on the third Tuesday of every month to process citizenship applications. The Secretary of State will provide the necessary staff and the City's responsibility entails only the supply of suitable accommodation.

This matter has been discussed with the Mayor and received his approval. This is for the information of Council only.

Yours truly,

  
Terence L. Julian.

TLJ/jlf



City of Mississauga

MEMORANDUM

To MAYOR & MEMBERS OF COUNCIL  
Dept. \_\_\_\_\_

From Terence L. Julian  
Dept. Deputy City Clerk

June 6, 1975.

Ladies and Gentlemen:


Re: Citizenship Office -  
Our File: 7-75

The Citizenship Office of the Secretary of State has requested space in the Municipal Building on a regular basis to process applications for citizenship. The Department has a similar arrangement in the Boroughs of Scarborough and Etobicoke.

The Clerk's Office has arranged with the Secretary of State to provide Committee Room A from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. on the third Tuesday of every month to process citizenship applications. The Secretary of State will provide the necessary staff and the City's responsibility entails only the supply of suitable accommodation.

This matter has been discussed with the Mayor and received his approval. This is for the information of Council only.

Yours truly,

  
Terence L. Julian.

TLJ/jlf





# City of Mississauga

## MEMORANDUM

To Mayor and Members of Council  
Dept. \_\_\_\_\_

From William P. Taylor, P.Eng.  
Commissioner  
Engineering, Works & Building  
Dept. \_\_\_\_\_

June 6, 1975



Files: P.N. 73-093  
04-00-150.1

SUBJECT: Reconstruction of Dundas Street with a Fifth Lane  
ORIGIN: Public meeting held in the Council Chambers on Thursday evening, June 5, 1975

### COMMENTS:


1. At the above mentioned public meeting considerable concern was expressed by the property owners in the affected area of Dundas Street concerning the provision of a median in sections of the fifth lane. The majority of the people that were present at the meeting did not object to the construction except with regard to the fact that the medians in question would eliminate left turning movements to many of their businesses.
2. The tender for this project is to be received by the City on June 18, 1975 and in order that all the contractors will be aware of whether this median work is to be included in the contract, it is essential that a decision be made by Council as soon as possible. The main worry is that one or more of the contractors submitting tenders could be made aware of the fact that the City may eliminate this median work and they could price their tenders accordingly which would put them in an advantageous position with regard to other bidders.

...2

Mayor and Members of Council  
June 6, 1975  
Page 2

RECOMMENDATION: That the proposed sections of median in the fifth lane of the Dundas Street project be deleted from the contract and that the Engineering Department be instructed to issue an addendum to that fact to all bidders.

MAM/bj

  
William P. Taylor, P.Eng.  
Commissioner  
Engineering, Works & Building Dept.



## City of Mississauga

### MEMORANDUM

To Mayor M. L. Dobkin, M.D. and  
Dept. Members of Council.

From William P. Taylor, P. Eng.  
Commissioner,  
Dept. Engineering, Works & Building.

June 6, 1975.

#### Re: VEHICLES FOR PARKING CONTROL OFFICERS.

We have recently received budget approval for setting up a Mobile Traffic Control Unit consisting of two officers, equip. with vehicles and two-way radio.

The Personnel Department is actively engaged in recruiting the necessary officers but there remains a great deal of work for us to do if we are to be operational by the 1st July 1975 deadline. Our principal problem at this time is the obtaining of two appropriate vehicles, normal tendering procedure would take us into July before purchase approval could be obtained. We therefore request permission for the Director of Supplies and Services to call tenders for vehicles and place the order just as quickly as he can.

RECOMMENDATION It is recommended that the Director of Supply & Services be authorized to purchase two - Four Cylinder North American Made Basic Automobiles (or as close to basic as possible) for the Parking Control Officers, at a cost not to exceed \$8,000 (\$4,000 each).

It is understood that in making this purchase, the suppliers of all appropriate vehicles located in Mississauga, will be contacted and invited to submit a price. Time of delivery will be considered in making this selection.

Yours very truly,

*William P. Taylor*  
William P. Taylor, P. Eng.  
Commissioner  
Engineering, Works & Building Dept.

*KAC*  
KAC/pw



City of Mississauga  
MEMORANDUM

To MAYOR AND MEMBERS OF COUNCIL  
Dept. \_\_\_\_\_

From T. L. Julian  
Dept. Deputy City Clerk

June 6, 1975.

ADDITIONAL ITEMS FOR COUNCIL AGENDA JUNE 9, 1975

- (1) FILE 40-75 - PERSONNEL -  
Re: Property Section of Clerk's Department
- (2) FILE 86-75 - TRAFFIC BY-LAW  
40-75 - PERSONNEL  
Re: Vehicles for parking control officers  
(Memorandum from W. P. Taylor, June 6/75)
- (3) FILE P.N. 73-093 - RECONSTRUCTION OF DUNDAS ST.  
Deletion of Fifth Lane
- (4) FILE 6-75 - COMPLAINTS  
Report from W. Taylor, May 26, 1975 reparking  
of commercial vehicle on residential premises  
(3391 Ash Row Crescent)





## City of Mississauga

### MEMORANDUM

To Mr. Frank I. Markson  
City Manager

From Mr. Bruce B. Wilkinson  
Property Agent

Dept. \_\_\_\_\_

June 6, 1975

Dear Sir:  
Re: Clerks Department-Property Section

As a result of Mr. Bruce Freeman's resignation there is a great urgency to fill the resulting gap in the property section's organization, particularly with regard to the Assessment Appeals, Property File, and Senior Appraisal and negotiation functions.

With regard to the Assessment Appeals and Property file, these functions can best be handled by transferring the responsibilities to existing staff who have been involved with them under the direction of Mr. Freeman. It is essential that these staff members be given further instruction and guidance over the next 6 months to be capable of assuming total responsibility.

The instruction and guidance can only be provided by Mr. Freeman and in this regard Mr. Freeman is prepared to assist on a part-time fee basis for 40 days over the next 6 months period at the normal per diem rate of \$250.00 per day for independent appraisers on the understanding the maximum payment is not expected to exceed \$10,000.00. Without Mr. Freeman's assistance over the next 6 months the assessment appeals and property file could not function properly and would not produce the same results. After the period of instruction it is our opinion that the systems could be carried on with normal efficiency.

#### RECOMMENDATION

Mr. Freeman be retained on a part time fee basis for 40 days over the next 6 months period at \$250.00 per day on the under-

.....2


.....page 2

standing the maximum payment is not expected to exceed \$10,000.00 for the purpose of completing instruction manuals and of training and guiding the staff.

The matter has been discussed with the Director of Personnel who is in agreement with the Recommendation.


Yours very truly,

Prepared by:

  
Bruce B. Wilkinson, M.I.M.A., F.R.I.  
Property Agent A.M.C.T.  
Ontario Land Economist

BBW:iw

Approved by:

  
Terence L. Julian, A.M.C.T.  
City Deputy Clerk

Copy to:

Mr. J. Sherlock  
Director of Personnel



## City of Mississauga

### MEMORANDUM

To Mayor M. L. Dobkin, M.D. and  
Dept. Members of Council.

From William P. Taylor, P. Eng.  
Commissioner,  
Dept. Engineering, Works & Building.

June 6, 1975.

#### Re: VEHICLES FOR PARKING CONTROL OFFICERS.

We have recently received budget approval for setting up a Mobile Traffic Control Unit consisting of two officers, equipped with vehicles and two-way radio.

The Personnel Department is actively engaged in recruiting the necessary officers but there remains a great deal of work for us to do if we are to be operational by the 1st July 1975 deadline. Our principal problem at this time is the obtaining of two appropriate vehicles, normal tendering procedure would take us into July before purchase approval could be obtained. We therefore request permission for the Director of Supplies and Services to call tenders for vehicles and place the order just as quickly as he can.

RECOMMENDATION It is recommended that the Director of Supply & Services be authorized to purchase two - Four Cylinder North American Made Basic Automobiles (or as close to basic as possible) for the Parking Control Officers, at a cost not to exceed \$8,000 (\$4,000 each).

It is understood that in making this purchase, the suppliers of all appropriate vehicles located in Mississauga, will be contacted and invited to submit a price. Time of delivery will be considered in making this selection.

Yours very truly,

William P. Taylor, P. Eng.  
Commissioner  
Engineering, Works & Building Dept.

KAC/pw



## City of Mississauga

### MEMORANDUM

To Mayor and Members of Council  
Dept. \_\_\_\_\_

From William P. Taylor, P.Eng.  
Commissioner  
Dept. Engineering, Works & Building

June 6, 1975

<b>RECEIVED</b>	
REGISTRY NO.	5019
DATE	JUN 6 - 1975
FILE NO.	18-75
CLERK'S DEPARTMENT	

Files: P.N. 73-093  
04-00-150.1

SUBJECT: Reconstruction of Dundas Street with a Fifth Lane

ORIGIN: Public meeting held in the Council Chambers on Thursday evening, June 5, 1975

- COMMENTS:
1. At the above mentioned public meeting considerable concern was expressed by the property owners in the affected area of Dundas Street concerning the provision of a median in sections of the fifth lane. The majority of the people that were present at the meeting did not object to the construction except with regard to the fact that the medians in question would eliminate left turning movements to many of their businesses.
  2. The tender for this project is to be received by the City on June 18, 1975 and in order that all the contractors will be aware of whether this median work is to be included in the contract, it is essential that a decision be made by Council as soon as possible. The main worry is that one or more of the contractors submitting tenders could be made aware of the fact that the City may eliminate this median work and they could price their tenders accordingly which would put them in an advantageous position with regard to other bidders.


...2



Mayor and Members of Council  
June 6, 1975  
Page 2

RECOMMENDATION: That the proposed sections of median in the fifth lane of the Dundas Street project be deleted from the contract and that the Engineering Department be instructed to issue an addendum to that fact to all bidders.

MAM/bj

  
William P. Taylor, P. Eng.  
Commissioner  
Engineering, Works & Building Dept.




City of Mississauga

MEMORANDUM

To David J. Culham  
Dept. Councillor Ward 6

From William P. Taylor, P. Eng.  
Commissioner of Engineering  
Dept. Engineering, Works and Building



May 26, 1975

B.L.E. File: 2925-74

Dear Sir:

Re: Lot 448, Registered Plan 961  
3391 Ash Row Crescent  
Mississauga, Ontario

Further to your memorandum of May 22, 1975 with respect to the parking of commercial vehicles on the above described residential premises, we would advise that the By-law Enforcement Section has already investigated the complaint.

We enclose, herewith, copy of letter recently sent to the property owner, Mr. Francesco Pellegrino. We would also mention that Mr. Pellegrino was convicted in Provincial court on December 12, 1974 resulting in a penalty of \$53.00 for this contravention.

You can rest assured that we will pursue this matter, and if necessary institute further legal action which would be a second offense and hopefully, on conviction result in a more adequate penalty.

Yours very truly,

William P. Taylor, P. Eng.,  
Commissioner of Engineering,  
Engineering, Works and Building Department.

WPT:ad  
Encl.

KAC

CCM



# City of Mississauga

## MEMORANDUM

To: Mr. K. Cowan, P. Eng.  
Director  
Engineering/Works/Building

From: Councillor David J. Culham

Dept.: Ward 6

May 22, 1975

Dear Keith:

A Mrs Tague of 3398 Ash Row Crescent, phone number 828-6365 has a problem with commercial catering service vehicles. A man by the name of Pellegrino at 3391 Ash Row Crescent has three such vehicles on the street and in his driveway. This is clearly against the by-law. They have tried for sometime and have been talking to Mr. Little, but to no avail and the Police have not been able to do anything as well.

Mr. Pellegrino I think, is also under double occupancy. They start these vehicles up very early in the morning and come home at lunch, and it is very unsightly and somewhat dangerous.

I think they should be prosecuted. Could you take action please.

Thank you,

*David J. Culham*  
*per C.H.*

DJC/ir

BUILDING, ZONING		
DATE REC'D: MAY 22 1975		
DEPT. FILE		
Route To	Rec'd By	Date
CCM	CCM	MAY 23/75

*Chas - report NSE*  
*KCL*

May 22, 1975

B.L.E. File: 2925-74

Mr. Francesco Pellegrino  
3391 Ash Row Crescent  
Mississauga, Ontario

Dear Sir:

RE: Lot 448, Registered Plan 961  
3391 Ash Row Crescent  
City of Mississauga

A further inspection by our By-law Enforcement Officer, Mr. R. Little disclosed that the above referenced property, zoned "R3" Residential is still being used for a purpose contrary to City of Mississauga Zoning By-law 5500, as amended, in that:

Catering trucks are still being kept thereon.

In consequence of the foregoing, we would hereby advise that should this zoning by-law contravention continue further legal action will be instigated immediately.

We trust we may have your co-operation in this regard.

Yours very truly,

THE CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF MISSISSAUGA

C.C. Moore  
By-law Enforcement & Licensing Manager  
Engineering, Works and Building Department

CCH/kc

HAND DELIVERED